



Original article: <https://user.guancha.cn/main/content?id=533697>

Translated version below.

Implicit rules become clearer? California wants to reduce the proportion of international students here, while Washington state wants to restrict Asian students from studying medicine?

Blog by “Big Eye Union” (2002 articles, 262 followers)

Guancha.cn (meaning: “Observer”) : is a private Internet news media in the People's Republic of China that focuses on news and commentary. It was founded in 2012 and is headquartered in Shanghai. This website is similar to the official "Global Times", with a strong Chinese nationalist standpoint, a critical attitude towards Western liberal democracy , and a younger dissemination method. Source from Chinese Wikipedia [Guancha on Wiki](#)

Full Text Google Translation:

Implicit rules become clearer? California wants to reduce the proportion of international students here, while Washington state wants to restrict Asian students from studying medicine?

Recently, the KTTT radio station in the United States broke out: Washington State has issued a new law that stipulates that medical students in Washington must pass "critical race theory (CRT) training" before they can graduate.

It seems a good thing to give medical students social science training, but the paradox of this law is that it does not allow all medical students to participate in the training.

In fact, it mainly restricts the participation of Asian medical students in training.

Isn't this clearly controlling the enrollment of Asian students?!

1

God's Logic: The grades are too good to learn

Last month, Washington Governor Jay Inslee signed several bills on "social justice training for public school staff."

Among them, Bill 5228 stipulates that medical students of Washington University (UW) and Washington State University (WSU) must receive CRT training to graduate, and students of different races will receive different training quotas.

When enrolling students in the future, UW and WSU will also recruit more medical students from minority ethnic groups based on the demand for doctors of different ethnicities. Therefore, students of some ethnicities will receive "preferential treatment" when applying.

In order to complete the target, some minority students were admitted by lowering their points. However, the school does not plan to expand enrollment. So, if you increase the number of students and eliminate them, and preferential treatment of students from ethnic minorities, it means reducing the number of ethnic students with the largest number of students.

Take UW as an example. The school has the most white students, followed by Asian students. They will all be affected by this bill.

The purpose of this bill is to allow future doctors to "understand and resist racism and hidden prejudice in health care." The ultimate goal seems to be to eradicate apartheid in health care.

It sounds fine, which is a good thing, but the logic is very problematic.

First of all, admitting minority students with low scores means racially oppressing high-scoring Asian and white students in the name of eliminating racial discrimination? Look at the questions asked by netizens:

Second, the program mentioned that in Washington State, black, Latino, and Native American students have relatively poor math and science scores. If they become medical students because of being cared for, will they become quacks in the future??

Third, the CRT that "criticizes race theory" is itself full of controversy. Wu Bin, a lecturer at Northeast Normal University, mentioned in an article "The Origin, Connotation and Limitations of Critical Theory of Race" that CRT "has not broken out of the discourse system constructed by

the white mainstream, let alone constructing a true racial equality in the United States. Discourse norms and political practice".

So is training CRT for students a form of formalism, or is it really trying to eliminate discrimination? This is still a question mark.

Fourth, this is the most critical point. Asians are also minorities. In order to take care of other minorities, sacrificing the interests of the group of Asian students with excellent grades and strength, logic is hell!

Netizens say it well: they support equal rights, but they cannot support false equal rights. The host Lantz put it most pertinently: "Because they are doing so well academically."

Since when did learning well become a political mistake? Once the enrollment policy is affected by the political environment, no matter how good the performance of Asian students in the future is, their arms will not be able to twist their thighs, and many related benefits will have to be lost.

Excuse me, what kind of right is this equal?

2

California government cracks down on international students

For students who are willing to study in the United States, this is the second time they have been hit recently. The first strike came from a piece of news in the previous two days that the California government intends to reduce the proportion of out-of-state students at the University of California by half.

The Los Angeles Times reported that the California Senate recently announced a new proposal to reduce the proportion of out-of-state and international students at the University of California from 19% to about 10% within 10 years. Once the proposal is passed, the proportion of out-of-state students on UC campuses will be reduced by half, starting in 2022.

This proposal is said to be due to "public opinion." Taking UCLA as an example, according to the 2019 application season data released by the University of California, the admission rate of in-state students in California is about 12%, and the admission rate of out-of-state students and international students is 16%, California people think that they have paid high taxes, but they can't get the convenience of children's enrollment. If they feel dissatisfied, the government pressured UC to adjust the enrollment ratio.

To be honest, perhaps out-of-state students and international students have indeed affected the admission ratio of in-state students, but UC has really not suffered a lot for so many years.

In the past, UC did not have as many out-of-state students as there are now, but after the 2008 financial crisis, all walks of life in the United States have been affected. In order to make up for the financial shortage, UC began to recruit a large number of out-of-state students, so that the proportion of out-of-state students has changed from 5% quickly expanded to 19% now.

According to regulations, when out-of-state students are enrolled in UC, each student has to pay an additional \$30,000 in tuition. According to the official report of the University of California, there are currently about 280,000 students from all over the world studying in universities within the California system, and 19% of out-of-state students can provide tuition fees.

After eating the benefits of enrollment expansion, now we have to adjust the enrollment ratio, which is really speechless. If the admission of out-of-state students is reduced, the school's income will inevitably shrink. The first thing that will be affected is the quality of teaching. If the ranking of the University of California is reduced year by year due to funding problems, the number of applications for out-of-state students will probably also decrease significantly. The embarrassment will not last long.

3


Chinese restricted

Asian students have outstanding academic performance, this is a recognized fact in the circle, but the road of Asian students to study abroad has been bumpy, and this is also an inevitable sorrow. According to relevant statistics, if the university only refers to the applicant's activities and achievements, 43% of the students on the Harvard campus should be Asian. The current figure is only 19%, and the remaining large number of outstanding talents have been inexplicably "rolled" away.


The internal scrolling of Asian students is just a microcosm of the restrictions of Chinese in the United States. Looking back on the history of Chinese immigration and study in the United States over the years, anti-Chinese, anti-Chinese, and racial discrimination have repeatedly appeared, but Americans really don't need Asians. Chinese?

Not long ago, the famous Chinese-American elite non-profit organization "Committee of 100" (Committee of 100) published a research report on the website of "The Economist" magazine, entitled "From Basic to Frontier: The Contribution of Chinese Americans to American Structure" (From Foundations to Frontiers: Chinese American Contributions to the Fabric of America).

不久前，著名华裔精英非盈利组织“百人会”（Committee of 100）在《经济学人》杂志网站发布了一份研究报告，题为《从基础到前沿：华裔美国人对美国结构的贡献》（From Foundations to Frontiers: Chinese American Contributions to the Fabric of America）。



《经济学人》智库结合了独特的数据开发、分析和经济学模型、专家访谈以及广泛的文献，从人口组成、经济、公共政治和社会文化等方面，详细而全面地梳理分析了华裔对美国的贡献。



The "Economist" think tank combines unique data development, analysis and economic models, expert interviews, and extensive literature. It provides a detailed and comprehensive analysis of Chinese Americans' influence on the United States in terms of population composition, economy, public politics, and social culture. Contribution.

The report pointed out that from the construction of transportation and agricultural infrastructure in the 19th century, to the U.S. space race in the 20th century, to today's high-tech industries, Chinese Americans have made significant contributions to GDP generation, job creation, and capital market growth. Economic contribution.

In 2019, Chinese people of Chinese descent contributed more than US\$300 billion to the US GDP through consumer spending, which is equivalent to the GDP value of the entire country of Finland; it provided support for 3 million jobs, which is equivalent to the number of jobs in Denmark.

One out of every 25 aeronautical engineers is of Chinese descent; one of every 20 fashion designers is of Chinese descent; and one of every 20 surgeons, physicians, optometrists, pharmacists, and dentists is of Chinese descent.

Since March 2020, more than 690 American Chinese People's Organizations have raised more than US\$18 million in funds and provided millions of pieces of personal protective equipment and meals to hospitals, nursing homes, police stations and other institutions to fight the new crown epidemic.

At the end of the report, Chinese Americans are an influential force in American society and culture. If they are restricted by continuous and evolving obstacles, the entire country will lose opportunities.

There are statistics and facts. Americans are not clear about the importance of the Chinese, but they are sometimes confused.

Nowadays, the geopolitical situation in China and the United States, the new crown epidemic, and American anti-China sentiments have all caused security risks for international students and the American Chinese community. The adjustment of admissions policies of major colleges and universities has made it more difficult for Chinese students to apply.

Could it be that those teenagers who have dreams will lose the opportunity to travel the world and pursue their dreams just because of the prejudice of foreign races and the unequal treatment of foreign countries?

I hope that the suppression and discrimination against Asians can be eliminated as soon as possible. All those who dream of studying abroad will have the opportunity to fulfill their dreams.

North American Schoolmaster